

Hi Jarlath,

In addition to my comments already made in February, I still wonder whether the whole idea of science-based metrics and target-setting in relation to e.g. planetary boundaries could be included in/requested by the Framework more explicitly. Last month I learned about the joint project of CDP, WWF and GHGP “With Mind the Science, Mind the Gap” – don’t you think that our Framework could better anticipate this? If yes, then from my view we should think about following points:

- Where in the framework do we need related references? I think following sections should may be suitable:
  - o 2.1.3 - Include here a bullet “How does performance related to science-based metrics?” to go beyond year-to-year and intensity comparisons
  - o 2.3.1.1 – Organisations could explain whether their target-setting is based also related to science-based metrics
  - o 2.3.1.2 – In this section we could ask for explanations on the methodology the organizations used to report on science-based metrics
- Which guidance materials should we refer to?
- Where and how would we explain the purpose and benefits of science-based metrics?
- What would be appropriate science-based metrics for elements other than CO2 (this field is hardly explored yet)?

If you wish, I could also make these comments on the platform.

Kind regards

Christian Hell  
KPMG AG